

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,478	07/23/2003	Che-Li Lin	BHT-3167-140 7408		
7590 07/20/2006		EXAMINER			
BRUCE H. TROXELL			LUI, DONNA V		
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBUR	RG PIKE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22041	2629			
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/624,4	78	LIN, CHE-LI					
		Examine		Art Unit					
		Donna V.		2629					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evalution. by period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timil expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	I. tely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>31 May 2006.</i>							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
· <u>-</u>									
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	see the attached detailed emoc determe		iled doples not rederve	u.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO					O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1 and 7 state the limitation "a single layer of sensor board ... comprising an antenna layer and a reflector layer" and "a single layer of sensor board ... said sensor board has a reflector surface layer" respectively, but one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to produce such a sensor board because it is not possible. A single layer can only have one layer, yet claim 1 states the existence of two layers, those being an antenna layer and a reflector layer. Claim 7 states that the sensor board has a reflector surface layer, but having a reflector surface layer implies the existence of two layers, one being the sensor board layer overlaid with a reflector surface layer.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2629

3. <u>Claims 1 and 7</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

Page 3

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. The claim language is unclear as to the sensor board having one or two

layers. Claims 1 and 7 state the limitation "a single layer of sensor board ... comprising an

antenna layer and a reflector layer" and "a single layer of sensor board ... said sensor board has a

reflector surface layer" respectively. A single layer can only have one layer, yet claim 1 states

the existence of two layers, those being an antenna layer and a reflector layer. Claim 7 states that

the sensor board has a reflector surface layer, but having a reflector surface layer implies the

existence of two layers, one being the sensor board layer overlaid with a reflector surface layer.

For the purpose of examining on merits, the claim limitation "a single layer" is a broad term

where having the layers held to each other through parts that hold the display itself together or

layers adhered to each other is equivalent to a single layer.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: Grammatical

errors, the following is a suggestion for correction.

Claim 1, line 9: a single layer [[of]] sensor board, attached to a lower surface of said lightguide,

Claim 7, line 12: wherein said backlight unit comprises a single layer [[of]] sensor board

attached

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. <u>Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11, 13-15, 17 and 19</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant admitted prior art (herein after referred to as "AAPA") in view of Keely Jr et al. (Patent No.: 6,756,970).

With respect to <u>Claim 1</u>, the AAPA discloses a backlight unit for flat panel displays (FPD). The AAPA teaches the backlight unit to comprise a lightguide (*figure 1, 32*), providing light propagating paths ([0006], lines 3-4); a lamp (38), disposed beside the lightguide to emit lights into the lightguide in an edgelight form, the lights emitted into the lightguide propagate therethrough in a total reflection form ([0006], lines 5-7); optical films (34) are disposed on the lightguide for scattering lights emitted from the lightguide uniformly ([0006], lines 1-12); an antenna array layer (42) and a reflector surface layer (36), where the antenna array layer is applied to receive inputting signals from a hand-held stylus ([0007], lines 3-6), and the reflector surface layer is applied to reflect lights dispersed from the lower surface of the lightguide ([0006], lines 8-10).

The AAPA teaches a sensor board (42) but the sensor board is not attached to a lower surface of the lightguide comprising an antenna array layer and a reflector surface layer. The

Art Unit: 2629

deficiencies of AAPA is equivalent to two layers attached together to form one layer comprised of an antenna array layer and a reflector surface layer.

Keely discloses a flat panel display in which a digitizer is integrated. Keely teaches a single layer sensor board (figure 1, 56 and 60) attached to a lower surface of the lightguide (54; column 4, lines 13-14) comprising an antenna array layer (60; column 4, lines 22-23) and a reflector surface layer (56). The single layer sensor board of Keely is shown in figure 1, where the layer comprises elements 50, 54, 56, and 60. Noting the above 35 U.S.C 112 1st and 2nd claim rejections and for the purpose of examining on merits, the claim limitation "a single layer" is a broad term where Keely's teaching of having the layers held to each other through parts that hold the display itself together (column 3, lines 26-28) is equivalent to a single layer. Therefore the teachings of Keely encompasses the claim limitation "a single layer".

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a single layer sensor board attached to the lower surface of the lightguide comprising an antenna layer and reflector layer, as taught by Keely, to the backlight unit of the AAPA for the purpose of integrating digitization technology into a display module (column 2, lines 15-17), to provide materials and processing techniques which when applied to device construction will yield further improvements in pen display performance and quality (column 2, lines 27-30), and to provide an electromagnetic pen digitizer construction which achieves high performance input in combination with a thin film transistor display (column 2, lines 27-30).

With respect to Claim 7, the AAPA discloses a flat panel display. The AAPA teaches a flat panel display (figure 1) comprising a display module (20), having a lower glass substrate (24) for fabricating thin film transistors ([0005], lines 12-13), an upper glass substrate (22), a displaying molecule layer inserted between the lower glass substrate and the upper glass substrate ([0005], lines 5-6), where the lower glass substrate is connected electrically to one single control circuit board ([0007], lines 8-10; as shown in figure 1, element 48, only one control circuit is used for driving the transistors) via a flexible printed circuit board for driving the thin film transistor ([0005], lines 9-13); a backlight unit (30), fabricated beneath the display module (20), having a lightguide (32), a lamp disposed aside the lightguide to emit lights into the lightguide in an edgelight form (38; [0006], lines 4-5), and optical films for scattering lights emitted from an upper surface of the lightguide uniformly (34; [0006], lines 10-12). The AAPA teaches a sensor board for receiving inputting signals from a hand-held stylus above the flat panel display ([0007], lines 3-6). The AAPA teaches a reflector surface layer for reflecting lights dispersed from the lower surface of the lightguide (36; [0006], lines 8-10); where the flexible printed circuit board is wound downward around a sidewall of the backlight unit to have the single control circuit board be attached beneath the backlight unit ([0008], lines 2-4), where the single control circuit board is connected electrically to the sensor board via a connecting bus to decode signals received by the sensor board ([0007], lines 6-10).

The AAPA does not teach an upper glass substrate for fabricating a color filter. The AAPA does not teach optical films disposed on the lightguide nor does the AAPA teach a backlight unit comprising a single layer sensor board attached to the lower surface of the lightguide where the sensor board comprises a reflector surface layer.

Keely discloses a flat panel display in which a digitizer is integrated. Keely teaches an upper substrate for fabricating a color filter (figure 7, upper substrate ~ front glass (34), color filter (130)). Keely teaches optical films (figure 1, 50) disposed on the lightguide and a backlight unit comprising a sensor board (figure 1, 56 and 60) attached to the lower surface of the lightguide (figure 1, lightguide~lightpipe; column 4, lines 14-15) where the sensor board comprises a reflector surface layer (56). Keely teaches a single layer sensor board (figure 1, 56 and 60) attached to a lower surface of the lightguide (54; column 4, lines 13-14) comprising an antenna array layer (60; column 4, lines 22-23) and a reflector surface layer (56). The single layer sensor board of Keely is shown in figure 1, where the layer comprises elements 50, 54, 56, and 60. Noting the above 35 U.S.C 112 1st and 2nd claim rejections and for the purpose of examining on merits, the claim limitation "a single layer" is a broad term where Keely's teaching of having the layers held to each other through parts that hold the display itself together (column 3, lines 26-28) is equivalent to a single layer. Therefore the teachings of Keely encompasses the claim limitation "a single layer".

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an upper substrate for fabricating a color filter, disposing optical films on the lightguide and have a backlight unit comprising a single layer sensor board attached to the lower surface of the lightguide where the sensor board comprises a reflector surface layer, as taught by Keely, to the flat panel display of the AAPA for the purpose of integrating digitization technology into a display module (column 2, lines 15-17), to provide materials and processing techniques which when applied to device construction will yield further improvements in pen display performance and quality (column 2, lines 27-30), and to provide an electromagnetic pen

Art Unit: 2629

digitizer construction which achieves high performance input in combination with a thin film transistor display (column 2, lines 27-30).

With respect to Claim 14, the claim differs from claim 7 only in that the limitation "wherein said flexible printed circuit board is wound downward around a sidewall of said backlight unit to have said control circuit board be attached beneath said backlight unit, wherein said control circuit board is connected electrically to said sensor board via a connecting bus to decode signals received by said sensor board" is recited in claim 7 and claim 14 recites the limitation "a liquid crystal molecule layer, disposed between said upper glass substrate and said lower glass substrate" and "one single control circuit board, attached beneath said sensor board". The AAPA teaches a liquid crystal molecule layer, disposed between said upper glass substrate and said lower glass substrate ([0005], lines 5-7). Since the backlight unit comprises a single layer sensor board, as discussed in claim 7, then the control unit is attached beneath the sensor board as well.

With respect to <u>Claim 2</u>, AAPA teaches the backlight unit to further comprise a reflector cover (figure 1, 39) disposed around the lamp to reflect and concentrate lights of the lamp into the lightguide ([0006], lines 7-8).

With respect to <u>Claim 3</u>, AAPA teaches the backlight unit where optical films (34) comprise diffuser films and brightness enhancing films for scattering lights emitted from the lightguide more uniformly ([0006], lines 10-12).

With respect to <u>Claim 4</u>, AAPA does not teach the backlight unit where optical films comprise upper diffuser films, brightness enhancing films and lower diffuser films.

Keely teaches the backlight unit where optical films (figure 1, 50) comprise upper diffuser films (DIFF), brightness enhancing films (BEF) and lower diffuser films (DIFF).

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use optical films comprising upper diffuser films, brightness enhancing films, and lower diffuser films, as taught by Keely, to the backlight unit of the AAPA for the purpose of achieving high performance input in combination with a thin film transistor display (column 2, lines 31-34).

With respect to <u>Claim 8</u>, the AAPA teaches the displaying molecule layer is made of liquid crystal molecules (p. 1, [0005], lines 6-9).

With respect to <u>Claims 9 and 15</u>, The AAPA does not teach the sensor board having a thickness of 0.4~0.8 mm and comprising an antenna array layer and a reflector surface layer.

Keely teaches a sensor board (figure 1, 56 and 60) comprising an antenna layer (60, the antenna layer is equivalent to the digitizer grid) and a reflector surface layer (56), where each component of the sensor board is 0.2 mm resulting in a sensor board thickness of 0.4 mm (column 3, lines 49-51). The sensor board thickness falls within the range of 0.4~0.8 mm.

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a sensor board thickness of 0.4~0.8 mm comprising an antenna array

later and a reflector surface layer, as taught by Keely, to the flat panel display of the AAPA for the purpose of providing materials and processing techniques which when applied to device construction, yield improvements in pen and display performance and quality (column 2, lines 27-30).

With respect to Claims 11 and 17, the AAPA does not teach the reflector surface layer having a thickness of 0.2~0.4 mm and is fabricated on the antenna array layer.

Keely teaches the reflector surface layer (56) having a thickness of 0.2 mm (See figure 1, layer 56 designated as 0.2; column 3, lines 49-51) and is fabricated on the antenna array layer (60). It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a reflector surface layer having a thickness of 0.2 mm fabricated on the antenna array later, as taught by Keely, to the flat panel display of the AAPA for the purpose of providing materials and processing techniques which when applied to device construction, yield improvements in pen and display performance and quality (column 2, lines 27-30) and to integrate electromagnetic pen digitization technology into a display module (column 2, lines 15-*17*).

With respect to Claims 13 and 19, the AAPA does not mention the flat panel display further comprising a timing control chip fabricated on the single control circuit board to provide timing control signals for driving the thin film transistors and executing a logical function of decoding the signals received by the sensor board.

Art Unit: 2629

Page 11

circuit board (figure 3, 72). The single control circuit board includes electronics for display and

Keely teaches an electronics layer (figure 1, 20) which is equivalent to a single control

for the digitizer layer (column 3, lines 58-64). Therefore, it is evident that the timing control chip

is on the control circuit board in order to provide the timing control signals for driving the thin

film transistors and executing a logical function of decoding said signals received by said sensor

board.

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use a timing control chip fabricated on the control circuit board to provide

timing control signals for driving the thin film transistors and executing a logical function of

decoding the signals received by the sensor board, as taught by Keely, to the flat panel display of

the AAPA for the purpose of integrating electromagnetic pen digitization technology into a

display module (column 2, lines 15-17), provide materials and processing techniques which

when applied to device construction yield further improvements in pen and display performance

and quality (column 2, lines 27-30), and to provide electromagnetic pen digitizer construction

which achieves high performance input in combination with a thin film transistor display

(column 2, lines 31-34).

6. Claim 5, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

AAPA in view of Keely as applied to claims 1-4, 7-9, 11, 13-15, 17 and 19 above, and further in

view of Dening (Pub No.: 2003/0201938).

With respect to <u>Claims 5 and 10</u>, note the above discussion of the AAPA and Keely. The AAPA does not teach the materials of the antenna array of the backlight unit are chosen from a group of FR4 and FPC, where the antenna array has a thickness of 0.2~0.4 mm.

Keely teaches the materials of the antenna array to comprise an insulated flexible printed circuit board (FPC, column 4, lines 33-35), where the antenna array has a thickness of 0.2 mm (figure 1, 60; column 3, lines 49-51).

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use materials for an antenna array to comprise a flexible printed circuit and have a thickness of 0.2 mm, as taught by Keely, to the backlight unit of the AAPA for the purpose of providing materials and processing techniques which when applied to device construction, yield improvements in pen and display performance and quality (column 2, lines 27-30).

Both the AAPA and Keely do not mention the material of the antenna array to further comprise FR4. Dening teaches an antenna formed from FR4 (p. 2, [0028], lines 7-8).

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an antenna formed from FR4, as taught by Dening to the backlight unit of the AAPA, as modified by Keely for the purpose of separating various components (p. 2, [0028], line 7) and for forming a substrate for other electrical components (p. 1, [0008], lines 5-6).

With respect to <u>Claim 16</u>, the claim differs from claim 5 in that the limitation "wherein said sensor board further comprises" is additionally recited. Both the AAPA and Keely teach a sensor board (e.g. 42 in AAPA and 60 in Keely).

7. Claims 6, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Keely as applied to claims 1-4, 7-9, 11, 13-15, 17 and 19 abovee, and further in view of Gettemy (Patent No.: 6,603,469).

With respect to <u>Claims 6, 12 and 18</u>, note the above discussion of the AAPA and Keely. These claims differ from claim 11 in that the limitation "disposed under said antenna array layer" is additionally recited.

Both the AAPA and Keely do not teach the reflector surface layer as being disposed under the antenna array layer.

Gettemy teaches a reflector surface layer is disposed under the antenna array layer (figure 12, 640; antenna array layer \sim 610; transreflector \sim 640).

Gettemy modifies AAPA and Keely by placing the reflector beneath the sensor board. Since Keely teaches the reflector as being held to the sensor board, which is equivalent to a being disposed on the antenna array layer, the modification would result in the reflector being held to the bottom of the antenna array layer.

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a reflector disposed under the antenna array layer, as taught by Gettemy, to the backlight unit of the AAPA, as modified by Keely, for the purpose of providing

reflective light for monochrome applications and bright light color applications (column 2, lines *30-32*).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed May 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the argument that Keely does not teach fabricating the single layer of sensor board which comprises the antenna array layer and the reflector surface layer, note the above 35 U.S.C 112 1st and 2nd rejections. The claim limitation "a single layer" is a broad term where Keely's teaching of having the layers held to each other through parts that hold the display itself together (column 3, lines 26-28) is equivalent to a single layer. Therefore the teachings of Keely encompasses the claim limitation "a single layer".

With respect to the argument that Keely does not teach the integration of the sensor board into the backlight unit and disposing the sensor board in the casing of the backlight unit, the claim language is broad and no where in the claim was the above claimed deficiency of Keely stated. The claims only state a backlight unit to comprise other elements, which is a broad term for what the backlight unit contains.

With respect to the argument that Keely does not disclose the integration of electronics for driving the thin film transistors and the electronics for decoding the signals received by the sensor board into one single circuit board, no where in the claims is such a deficiency stated. The claims only state one control circuit board for driving the thin film transistors, which Keely teaches as the electronics layer 20 in figure 1. Note column 3, lines 62-64, where Keely states

Application/Control Number: 10/624,478 Page 15

Art Unit: 2629

that the electronics layer, which is equivalent to a single control circuit board includes the electronics for the display particularly the display therefore, drivers and electronics for the digitizer layer.

With respect to the argument that Gettemy is distinguishable from the present invention in that the transreflector and the digitizer cannot be integrated into one single layer of sensor board, the reference Gettemy was used for teaching the limitation of disposing the reflector under the antenna array layer. Since Keely teaches the reflector as being held to the sensor board, which is equivalent to a being disposed on the antenna array layer, the modification of Gettemy to AAPA and Keely would result in the reflector being held to the bottom of the antenna array layer.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

